

Rollory says:

March 13, 2019 at 2:25 pm

I am really impressed with how thoroughly you've gotten away with this lie.

Since this is the reiteration post, I'll reiterate as well:

- 1) Dalrock posted the full email exchange between Dalrock and Nathan.
- 2) As can be seen in the plain text of the emails, Nathan's initial request was that he would send Dalrock questions, Dalrock would answer them, and Nathan would discuss Dalrock's answers on Nathan's podcast. Dalrock agrees to this, with no qualifications.
- 3) At no point does Dalrock suggest a change to this format.
- 4) At no point does Nathan suggest a change to this format.
- 5) Nathan repeatedly reiterates that he will be asking questions and discussing Dalrock's answers. Dalrock does not object.
- 6) Nathan does exactly what he has said from the start that he would do.
- 7) Dalrock announces that the agreement was for a debate and a back-and-forth and Nathan broke the terms. Dalrock provides non-specific multi-paragraph quotes as evidence, none of which contain any agreement to any debate nor any specification of different terms than those originally proposed by Nathan. Breaking out seven questions into nine does not constitute any fundamental change to the terms; the subjects covered by the seven and nine are substantially the same, and the basic format remains that Nathan will ask questions and Dalrock will answer them.
- 8) Dalrock, on the strength of vigorous unsupported assertions, announces that Nathan is obviously a liar.
- 9) Dalrock's audience, being incapable of reading plain English, choruses that Dalrock is right and good.

I covered the first 6 steps in more detail with specific quotations in the original post on this topic. Dalrock has never quoted any agreement to a debate on Nathan's part because no such agreement exists. He quotes all sorts of other things that do not constitute any such agreement, then asserts that they mean what he wants them to mean. I was not expecting that. I also was not at all expecting step 9. But that's how it has played out.

While this whole process has caused me to discover many objections to Dalrock's ethics and personal traits – regrettably so, as his message on the specific topics of marriage and female behavior is indeed correct – it has also caused me to discover two points on which I admit a singular admiration: he definitely knows his audience, and he knows how to play an audience of suckers.